UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,436	04/09/2007	Pascal Delbrassinne	SN142PCT1	3751
137 7590 10/30/2009 DOW CORNING CORPORATION CO1232 EXAMINER				
2200 W. SALZ	BURG ROAD	COLLINS, ALVIN		
P.O. BOX 994 MIDLAND, MI 48686-0994			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents.admin@dowcorning.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/581,436	DELBRASSINNE ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin C. Collins III	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	!SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	yn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	William consideration.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	olocion roquiromonia					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the Internation for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Christiano et al. US 6,656,975 (hereinafter "Christiano").

Regarding claim 1, Christiano teaches a foam control composition comprising a silicone resin of (M) groups of the formula R₂SiO_{1/2} and (Q) groups of the formula SiO_{4/2} where the R groups are preferably methyl ((ii) a branched siloxane resin) (see col. 3, lines 44-52), vegetable oil ((i)non-silicon-containing organic liquid) (see col. 3, lines 66-67), treated silica ((iii) a particulate filler which is insoluble in the organic liquid) see col. 3, lines 26-43), Pegosperse 50DS, a polyethylene glycol 400 distearate surfactant ((iv) polyol substantially fully esterified by carboxylate groups each having 7 to 36 carbon atoms) (see col. 12, lines 15-17), and polypropylene glycol ((vi) a water-soluble or water-dispersible binder deposited on the carrier particles) (see Example 24, col. 12, lines 15-30). Regarding (v) a particulate carrier supporting the granulated foam control agent, the treated silica Sipernat D11 also reads on this limitation as the specification list silicates as examples of carriers as of the instant invention (see Specification Page 7, [0023]).

Art Unit: 1796

Regarding claim 2, Christiano teaches in Example 24 a foam control composition prepared by mixing 16 parts of dispersion of 15% silicone resin in PDMS (component which is miscible with the polyol ester) with 4 parts of Pegosperse 50D at 70°C (polyol ester). According to the Examiner's calculation, this corresponds to 20 and 80 parts by weight of the polyol ester and component which is miscible with the polyol ester, respectively (see Example 24, col. 12, line 15-30).

Regarding claim 3, Christiano teaches an antifoam composition wherein 20 parts of the antifoam concentrate (additive composition) is used with 80 parts of the organic liquid, corresponding to 25% by weight based on the organic liquid (See Examples 27-29, col. 12, lines 35-46).

Regarding claim 4, Christiano teaches the siloxane resin of the silicon antifoam consists of (M) groups of the formula $R_2SiO_{1/2}$ and (Q) groups of the formula $SiO_{4/2}$ where the R groups are preferably methyl. The molar ratio of (M) to (Q) groups is 0.4:1 to 1.2:1, which reads on the (M) and (Q) groups in the ratios as claimed (see col. 3, lines 44-52).

Regarding claim 6, Christiano teaches the a foam control composition wherein the particulate filler preferably has an average particle size is 0.1 - $20~\mu m$ (see col. 3, lines 31-33).

Page 4

Regarding claims 5 and 7, Christiano teaches the polar organic liquid can be either a polyether (see col. 3, lines 53-65), a vegetable oil (see col. 3, lines 66-67), or mixture thereof (see col 4, lines 3-5). A genus does not always anticipate a claim to a species within the genus. However, when the species is clearly named, the species claim is anticipated no matter how many other species are additionally named. Ex parte A, 17 USPQ2d 1716 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990). In view of this, the foam control composition of Example 24 comprises 16 parts of a dispersion of 15% silioxane resin in PDMS (2.4 parts branched siloxane resin), 1.7 parts treated silica Sipernat D11, 53.11 parts Pluronic L61 (block copolyether) and 0.9 parts vegetable oil (see col. 12, lines 15-30). According to examiner's calculation, this corresponds to 4.4 and 3.1 % based on the organic liquid of branched siloxane resin and treated silica, respectively.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Collins III whose telephone number is (571) 270-7734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 am - 5:00 pm EST and on alternate Fridays from 7:30 am - 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,436 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AC/

/Randy Gulakowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796